



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,606	06/27/2003	Kong Weng Lee	70030260-1	2249

7590 05/18/2005

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Legal Department, DL429  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 7599  
Loveland, CO 80537-0599

EXAMINER

HU, SHOUXIANG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/608,606

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Shouxiang Hu

Art Unit

2811

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 and 13-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 12 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities and/or defects:

In Paragraph 0001, the serial number for the related application is incomplete.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakamoto (US 2003/0020126 A1).

Sakamoto discloses a method for fabricating a semiconductor device (Figs. 11A-11D; also see Paragraphs 0011 through 0025), comprising: providing a substantially planar substrate (11) comprising a through-hole formed through drilling and extending between the major surfaces; filling the through-hole with a conductive interconnecting element (TH, through plating); and forming a conductive die mounting pad (14) and a

Art Unit: 2811

conductive connecting pad (16) on different ones of the major surfaces in electrical contact with the conductive interconnecting element (TH).

Regarding claim 12, the substrate in Sakamoto further comprises an additional filled through-hole (TH) connected to additional conductive bonding pad (15) and conductive connecting pad (17).

Regarding claim 19, the method of Sakamoto naturally further comprises providing a wafer of which the substrate constitutes part; and, after the filling and the forming, singulating the wafer into individual devices, since the method of Sakamoto is for wafer scale chip size packaging (see Paragraphs 10-11).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto in view of Kobayashi (US 2003/0040138; of record).

The disclosure of Sakamoto is discussed as applied to claims 1-3, 12 and 19 above.

Although Sakamoto does not expressly disclose that the mounting pad and the connecting pad can be formed through plating on a seed layer, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that such plating method is commonly used in the art for

forming conductive pads with low cost, as evidenced in Kobayashi (Figs. 15 and 16). In which, layers 20 and 21 each readable as a seed layer as the plated portion in the final pads 7-11 are respectively plated thereon. And, the method of Kobayashi further comprises: forming the seed layer (20 and/or 21) on the substrate of an unfired ceramic; firing (i.e., sintering) the ceramic after drilling the hole(s) therein; and forming additional layers (the plated portion, see Paragraph 0016) on the seed layers after the firing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the pad-plating method of Kobayashi into the method of Sakamoto, so that a method for making a semiconductor device with low cost would be obtained.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto in view of Kobayashi, and further in view of Rapoport (US 5,298,687).

The disclosures of Sakamoto and Kobayashi are discussed as applied to claims 1-7, 12 and 19 above.

Although Sakamoto and Kobayashi do not expressly disclose that the seed layer can be formed by screen printing, it is noted that screening printing is one of the art known common methods for forming a patterned seed layer for plating patterned layers with low cost, as evidenced in Rapoport (see the seed layer 2 in Fig. 1), compared to a method of forming a patterned seed layer through sputtering, which normally requires sophisticated/expensive sputtering machine, following by photolithographic patterning process that would cause additional cost.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the seed-layer screen-printing step of Rapoport into the method collectively taught by Sakamoto and Kobayashi, so that a method for making a semiconductor device with low cost would be obtained.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH  
May 12, 2005



**SHOUXIANG HU**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**